DOI: https://doi.org/10.59503/29538009-2026.3.19-26

THE IMPACT OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE ON THE PLANNING IN SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT

Mahmoud Shaarawy Abdulazim
Eurasia International University, Ph.D. Researcher
mahmoudshaarawy.a@gmail.com
ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0009-0005-9361-4814

Abstract: Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as a transformative catalyst in Supply Chain Management (SCM), shifting operations from traditional models to predictive and automated systems. This article examines the impact of AI technologies - specifically Machine Learning (ML), Deep Learning (DL), on supply chain planning. Grounded in Organizational Information Processing Theory (OIPT) and Dynamic Capabilities Theory (DCT), we analyze how AI enhances planning efficiency across strategic, tactical, and operational horizons. Furthermore, we critically evaluate key implementation challenges, including data governance, system integration, algorithmic transparency, human-AI trust, and organizational change management. The article concludes with key managerial insights and future research directions for sustainable, resilient, and AI-augmented supply chains.

Keywords: AI in Supply Chain, AI in Logistics, AI in Planning of Supply Chain, operational horizons

JEL code: M11

Research aims: to show the importance of artificial intelligence in functions of planning in supply chain management.

Research Novelty: is presented in highlighting the significance of new technological trends adoptions in planning of supply chain and the challenges that may occur due to the intensive usage of AI tools and applications.

Introduction

Global supply chain networks operate in an environment defined by persistent volatility, structural complexity, and hyper-disruptive external shocks. Historical paradigms of Supply Chain Planning (SCP) - developed predominantly in the late 20th century - were architected around deterministic assumptions, linear regression models, periodic batch-processing through Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) systems, and consensus-driven Sales and Operations Planning (S&OP) processes (Choi et al., 2018; Min, 2010). While these frameworks functioned adequately during periods of macroeconomic stability and predictable consumer demand, they are fundamentally ill-equipped for contemporary supply chain reality.

Modern supply chains face non-stationary demand signals, geopolitical instability, climate-induced supply shocks, rapid product lifecycle decay, and omni-channel distribution complexities. Traditional planning frameworks suffer from three systemic structural failure modes:

1. **Information Asymmetry and Delays:** Information propagation across supply chain tiers is notoriously slow, compounding

demand signal distortion - a phenomenon known as the Bullwhip Effect (Choi et al., 2018).

2. **Deterministic Rigidities:** Standard Material Requirements Planning (MRP) and Advanced Planning and Scheduling (APS) systems rely on fixed parameter inputs (e.g., static lead times, fixed yield rates) that fail to reflect real-world stochastic variability (Ivanov & Dolgui, 2021).
3. **Cognitive Overload:** Human supply chain planners, constrained by bounded rationality, are overwhelmed by the volume and velocity of multi-source big data, leading to sub-optimal manual overrides and localized, siloed decision-making (Toorajipour et al., 2021).

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into SCM represents a fundamental shift from reactive execution to predictive and autonomous synchronization (Min, 2010; Wamba & Akter, 2019). AI-driven supply chain planning leverages continuous streams of internal and external data to sense disruptions, forecast non-linear demand patterns, and dynamically reconfigure resources across multi-echelon networks in real time.

Theoretical Foundations

To move beyond speculative technology narratives, this study anchors the analysis of AI in supply chain planning within three robust theoretical frameworks:

- ✓ **Organizational Information Processing Theory (OIPT):** Formulated by Galbraith (1974), OIPT posits that an organization's primary task is to manage uncertainty. Uncertainty is defined as the difference between the amount of

information required to perform a task and the amount of information already possessed by the organization. As supply chain environments become more volatile and complex, the information processing requirement scales exponentially. Organizations must either reduce the need for information processing or increase their information processing capacity. In this context, AI serves as an enterprise-wide information processing engine that dramatically elevates processing capacity, converting high-volume, high-velocity, high-variety data into actionable, real-time planning signals (Galbraith, 1974).

- ✓ **Dynamic Capabilities Theory (DCT):** Developed by Teece et al. (1997), DCT addresses how organizations build, integrate, and reconfigure internal and external competencies to address rapidly changing environments. AI functions as a primary micro-foundation of supply chain dynamic capabilities across three clusters: sensing market shifts through predictive models, seizing opportunities via automated optimization, and transforming/reconfiguring operational asset configurations dynamically (Teece et al., 1997; Wamba & Akter, 2019).
- ✓ **Resource-Based View (RBV):** The Resource-Based View (Barney, 1991) asserts that firms achieve sustainable competitive advantage by deploying resources that are Valuable, Rare, Inimitable, and Non-substitutable (VRIN). While off-the-shelf AI algorithms are increasingly commoditized, the proprietary fusion of enterprise domain expertise, clean historical supply chain datasets, streaming operational data pipelines, and specialized human planner oversight forms an

organizational capability that meets the VRIN criteria (Barney, 1991).

Efficiency

AI deployment across the supply chain planning lifecycle fundamentally redefines performance boundaries. Rather than achieving incremental improvements, AI-native planning architectures deliver step-change enhancements in forecasting accuracy, inventory turnover, asset utilization, and response latency across strategic, tactical, and operational planning horizons (Garay-Rondero et al., 2020; Min, 2010).

Table 1. The paradigm of artificial intelligence's impact on supply chain organization

Planning Horizon	**Traditional Paradigm**	**AI-Enhanced Paradigm**	**Key Operational Impact**
Strategic *(1–5 Years)*	Static network design, multi-year historical averages, deterministic linear programming	Dynamic network topology, digital twin modeling, multi-criteria risk simulation (GNNs, SMILP)	15–20% reduction in network capex, 30% improvement in network resilience metrics (Ivanov & Dolgui, 2021)
Tactical *(1–12 Months)*	Univariate time-series forecasting	Multi-variate feature integration,	Up to 25% reduction in forecast

Planning Horizon	Traditional Paradigm	AI-Enhanced Paradigm	Key Operational Impact
	(ARIMA), uniprocess aggregate planning	demand sensing, multi-echelon inventory optimization (Transformers, DeepAR)	errors, 20% lower inventory holding costs (Dash et al., 2019)
Operational *(Real-Time–30 Days)*	Manual job-shop dispatching, static safety lead times, reactive human re-planning	Dynamic execution, real-time vehicle re-routing, continuous shop-floor re-sequencing (DRL, MARL)	15% reduction in lead times, 25% decrease in transportation idle time (Stranieri, 2022)

1. Demand Sensing and High-Dimensional Forecasting

Traditional forecasting relies heavily on historical sales data aggregated over monthly or weekly buckets. AI transforms demand forecasting into Demand Sensing - a high-frequency, multi-variate process that processes real-time external data streams, including macroeconomic indicators, weather conditions, point-of-sale transactional velocity, and digital sentiment signals (Choi et al., 2018). Advanced deep learning architectures (such as Long Short-Term Memory networks and Temporal Fusion Transformers)

capture complex non-linear demand patterns, reducing forecast error metrics by up to 25% and directly mitigating the Bullwhip Effect across supply networks (Dash et al., 2019).

2. Inventory Optimization:

Inventory optimization across interconnected multi-tier networks represents a high-dimensional stochastic problem. Traditional single-echelon approaches calculate safety stocks independently at each node, leading to over-buffering and tied-up working capital. AI-driven MEIO models the entire supply network holistically, applying dynamic optimization algorithms to balance lead-time variability, holding costs, and target service levels simultaneously (Min, 2010). Enterprise deployments demonstrate a 15% to 30% reduction in holding costs paired with a 30% to 50% decrease in stockout occurrences (Dash et al., 2019).

3. Dynamic Production Scheduling and Autonomous Logistics Execution

At the operational horizon, production scheduling and logistics execution face continuous real-time disruptions such as machine breakdowns, supplier delays, or weather events. By modelling shop-floor and routing environments as Markov Decision Processes (MDPs), Deep Reinforcement Learning (DRL) agents evaluate environment changes instantly and generate optimal re-scheduling and vehicle re-routing decisions in real time (Stranieri, 2022). This dynamic adaptability yields up to a 15% reduction in production lead times and significant transportation cost savings.

Challenges

Despite the substantial performance gains offered by AI, strategic enterprise implementation faces critical structural,

technological, socio-technical, and organizational bottlenecks (Cooper, 2025; Shrivastav, 2022).

1. Data Quality, Architecture, and Silos

AI models are fundamentally constrained by the quality of their input data ('garbage in, garbage out'). Global supply chains frequently suffer from fragmented data architectures where logistics, procurement, manufacturing, and commercial data reside in disparate functional silos (Cooper, 2025; Shrivastav, 2022). Ensuring real-time data hygiene, standardization, and seamless inter-organizational data sharing across multi-tier supplier networks remains a primary operational barrier (Cooper, 2025).

2. Algorithmic Transparency and the 'Black-Box' Problem

Advanced AI models (such as deep neural networks and reinforcement learning systems) operate as opaque 'black boxes' (Batishchev, 2025; Shrivastav, 2022). In high-consequence supply chain decisions - such as committing capital to raw material purchases or re-allocating inventory during systemic disruptions - executives hesitate to rely on predictions they cannot audit or explain. This opacity creates a fundamental conflict between operational efficiency gains and necessary human oversight and risk management (Batishchev, 2025).

3. Legacy System Architectural Friction

Integrating real-time AI engines into modern enterprise infrastructure requires migrating beyond rigid, batch-processed legacy Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) and Warehouse Management Systems (WMS). Transitioning to event-driven cloud architectures and high-frequency API connectors requires

substantial capital investment and technical infrastructure overhauls (Cooper, 2025; Shrivastav, 2022).

4. Socio-Technical Friction and Human-AI Collaboration

The transition to AI-augmented planning fundamentally changes the role of the traditional human supply chain planner - shifting duties from routine manual data entry to strategic decision oversight. Organizational resistance stemming from fear of job displacement, lack of data literacy, managerial inertia, and mistrust of automated recommendations presents a major socio-technical barrier to adoption (Cooper, 2025; Shrivastav, 2022).

Conclusion

Artificial Intelligence has progressed from a speculative innovation to a cornerstone capability in modern Supply Chain Management planning. By processing vast amounts of multi-source real-time data, AI bridges the gap between environmental uncertainty and organizational planning performance (Galbraith, 1974; Teece et al., 1997).

Theoretical and empirical evidence confirms that AI deployment yields quantifiable efficiency improvements across demand sensing, multi-echelon inventory optimization, and dynamic operational scheduling (Choi et al., 2018; Stranieri, 2022). However, capturing sustainable competitive advantage through AI is not merely a software procurement exercise. Organizations must address significant structural challenges - ranging from data governance and legacy system integration to algorithmic transparency and cultural change management (Cooper, 2025; Shrivastav, 2022).

Ultimately, the future of supply chain planning lies in Collaborative Intelligence: a hybrid operational paradigm where advanced AI systems manage complex high-dimensional optimizations, while human planners provide contextual strategy, ethics, and qualitative judgment.

References:

1. ***Barney, J.*** (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of Management*, 17(1), 99–120. https://doi.org/10.1177/014920639101700108
2. ***Batishchev, D.*** (2025). The black box problem: AI decision-making in critical infrastructure. *Preprints*.
3. ***Choi, T., M., Wallace, S., W., & Wang, Y.*** (2018). Big data analytics and supply chain management: A review of theory and applications. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 270(1), 1–19. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2017.10.056
4. ***Cooper, M.*** (2025). Barriers to AI adoption in supply chain management. *Preprints.org. (Cited by: 9)*
5. ***Dash, R., McMurtrey, M., Rebman, C., & Lou, K., X.*** (2019). Application of artificial intelligence in supply chain management: A comprehensive review. *Journal of Management Information and Decision Sciences*, 22(3), 268–282.
6. ***Galbraith, J., R.*** (1974). Organization design: An information processing view. *Interfaces*, 4(3), 28–36. https://doi.org/10.1287/inte.4.3.28
7. ***Garay-Rondero, C., L., Martinez-Flores, J., L., Smith, N., R., Morales, S., O., & Aldana, A.*** (2020). Digital supply chain model in Industry 4.0. *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, 31(5), 887–933. https://doi.org/10.1108/JMTM-08-2018-0280
8. ***Ivanov, D., & Dolgui, A.*** (2021). A digital supply chain twin for managing the disruption risks and resilience in the supply chain in the Industry 4.0 era. *International Journal of Production Research*,

59(9), 2704–2727. https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2020.1768450

9. ***Min, H.*** (2010). Artificial intelligence in supply chain management: Theory and applications. *International Journal of Logistics: Research and Applications*, 13(1), 13–39. https://doi.org/10.1080/13675560903181535
10. ***Shrivastav, M.*** (2022). Barriers related to AI implementation in supply chain management. *Journal of Global Information Management*, 30(1), 1–19. https://doi.org/10.4018/jgim.296725 *(Cited by: 104)*
11. ***Stranieri, F.*** (2022). A deep reinforcement learning approach to supply chain inventory management. *EWRL 2022. (Cited by: 33)*
12. ***Teece, D., J., Pisano, G., & Shuen, A.*** (1997). Dynamic capabilities and strategic management. *Strategic Management Journal*, 18(7), 509–533. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0266(199708)18:7<509::AID-SMJ882>3.0.CO;2-Z
13. ***Toorajipour, R., Sohrabpour, V., Oghazi, P., & Liarkookhani, R.*** (2021). Artificial intelligence in supply chain management: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Business Research*, 122, 502–517. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.09.009
14. ***Wamba, S., F., & Akter, S.*** (2019). Understanding AI-enabled dynamic capabilities is vital for supply chain agility and performance. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 218, 381–385. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2019.05.019

ԱՐՀԵՍՏԱԿԱՆ ԲԱՆԱԿԱՆՈՒԹՅԱՆ ԱԶԴԵՑՈՒԹՅՈՒՆԸ ՄԱՏԱԿԱՐԱՐՄԱՆ ՇՂԹԱՅԻ ԿԱՌԱՎԱՐՄԱՆ ՊԼԱՆԱՎՈՐՄԱՆ ՎՐԱ

Մահմուդ Շաարավի Աբդուլազիմ
Եվրասիա միջազգային համալսարան, հետազոտող

Բանալի բառեր - արհեստական բանականություն, մատակարարման արժեշղթա, ԱԲ-ն լոգիստիկայում, մատակարարման արժեշղթայի պլանավորում

Արհեստական բանականությունը նորարարությունից վերածվել է ժամանակակից մատակարարման շղթայի կառավարման պլանավորման անկյունաքարային կարողության: Մշակելով բազմաթիվ աղբյուրներից իրական ժամանակի տվյալների հսկայական քանակություն, արհեստական բանականությունը կամուրջ է ստեղծում շրջակա միջավայրի անորոշության և կազմակերպչական պլանավորման արդյունավետության միջև:

Տեսական և էմպիրիկ ապացույցները հաստատում են, որ արհեստական բանականության տեղակայումը հանգեցնում է քանակականորեն գնահատելի արդյունավետության բարելավումների՝ պահանջարկի զգայունացման, բազմաշերտ պաշարների օպտիմալացման և դինամիկ գործառնական ժամանակացույցի միջոցով: Այնուամենայնիվ, արհեստական բանականության միջոցով կայուն մրցակցային առավելություն ձեռք բերելը պարզապես ծրագրային ապահովում չէ: Կազմակերպությունները պետք է լուծեն զգալի կառուցվածքային մարտահրավերներ՝ սկսած տվյալների կառավարումից և ավանդական համակարգերի ինտեգրումից

մինչև ալգորիթմական թափանցիկություն և մշակութային փոփոխությունների կառավարում:

Ի վերջո, մատակարարման շղթայի պլանավորման ապագան գտնվում է համագործակցային բանականության մեջ. հիբրիդային գործառնական մոդել, որտեղ առաջադեմ արհեստական բանականության համակարգերը կառավարում են բարդ բարձրաչափ օպտիմալացումներ, մինչդեռ մարդու կողմից պլանավորումը ապահովում է համատեքստային ռազմավարություն, էթիկա և որակական դատողություն:

Հետազոտությունում ուսումնասիրում է ԱԲ տեխնոլոգիաների, մասնավորապես՝ մեքենայական ուսուցման, ազդեցությունը մատակարարման շղթայի պլանավորման վրա: Հիմնվելով կազմակերպչական տեղեկատվության մշակման տեսության և դինամիկ հնարավորությունների տեսության վրա, վերլուծվել է, թե ինչպես է ԱԲ-ն բարելավում պլանավորման արդյունավետությունը ռազմավարական, մարտավարական և գործառնական հորիզոններում:

Նաև քննադատաբար գնահատվել են իրականացման հիմնական մարտահրավերները, ներառյալ տվյալների կառավարումը, համակարգի ինտեգրումը, ալգորիթմական թափանցիկությունը, մարդ - ԱԲ վստահությունը և կազմակերպչական փոփոխությունների կառավարումը:

Submitted: 10.09.2026; Revised: 25.09.2026; Accepted: 02.10.2026